## PROCEEDINGS

2

1

THE COURT: Good morning. Be seated, please.

3

MR. PICCININI: Good morning.

MR. PATTON: Good morning, your Honor.

6

THE COURT: This is the time for the

7 8 sentencing of Kenneth Fleetwood. Mr. Patton, have you and your client reviewed the presentence report?

9

MR. PATTON: We have, your Honor.

0.

11

THE COURT: I have also. And I've also read the position papers filed by the government and by

2

Mr. Patton on behalf of Mr. Fleetwood. And I also

.3 14

received this morning a letter that Mr. Fleetwood had addressed to the Court, delivered by Mr. Patton.

15

I have also read that letter. We'll make that

16

presentence report part of the record under seal.

17

And also the request that Mr. Patton made, that the

**1**8

letter be made part of the record. If there is an appeal taken, counsel on appeal would be permitted

**1**9 0

access to the report.

1

I am not aware of any information that has been withheld from the defendant which was given to

12 **1**3

the Court.

4

And in the wake of the recent decision by the United States Supreme Court of in United States V.

5

l3

.5

.6

l8

Booker, the sentencing guidelines are now advisory only. However, the Court is still obligated to consult with the sentencing guidelines in determining imposition of a reasonable sentence.

Neither the government nor counsel for the defendant have filed any legal or factual objections to the presentence report.

So although as I said, I have reviewed the position papers that each side has submitted. If for appeal later on, the paper would be unsealed. I guess since we are required at least to consider the guideline levels, I'm going to make my finding with respect to the guideline computations since there are no factual or legal issues -- Well, I guess factual, but no legal issues to be considered.

Initially, we find that the appropriate offense level is 31, and the criminal history category is Roman Numeral IV. And that the applicable guideline range is 151 to 188 months' imprisonment; supervised release of five years; a fine range of \$15,000 to \$4,000,000; and a special assessment of \$100.

At this time, Mr. Patton, is there anything you wish to say or introduce any testimony on behalf of your client?

1 MR. PATTON: Your Honor, we have no testimony 2 to introduce. And I know that you have read the 3 position with respect to sentencing factors, and I 4 know that the arguments that are -- that have been 5 put before you in those papers are very similar to 6 the arguments that were put forth before you in 7 Mr. Bennafield's case that we just had --8 THE COURT: I understand the argument is 9 essentially the same in the two cases. 0 MR. PATTON: I do want to make clear to 1 Mr. Fleetwood, however, and I know your Honor has 12 given his case the individual consideration that it .3 deserves, but we don't -- it would not be helpful, I 4 don't think, to the Court to go at length over the 15 same arguments that we went through a half hour ago. l6 THE COURT: Certainly. MR. PATTON: But I want to assure l8 Mr. Fleetwood that's not in any way trying to 9 shortchange him on an individualized sentence. 0 THE COURT: If an appeal would be forthcoming, 1 the Court would incorporate the argument you made in 22 the prior case. 13 MR. PATTON: I would ask the Court to consider 14 that the sentence that Mr. Fleetwood has served in 25 prison is one year. He has six convictions. I know

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

0

11

12

.3

4

.5

6

7

l8

9

0

1

2

3

4

5

he has a number of arrests, but I would submit your Honor cannot sentence him based solely on the basis of an arrest record.

An arrest in and of itself means nothing. There has never been any additional finding that there was, you know, sufficient reason behind the arrest. There has never been any kind of judicial finding that Mr. Fleetwood, you know, committed offenses in connection with those arrests.

I would like to address the idea that somehow Mr. Fleetwood needs to be punished more harshly here because he's from Cleveland rather than being from Erie, which is the least implicit in that, if not explicit --

THE COURT: I'm a Steeler football fan, but I won't hold that against him.

MR. PATTON: If people in Erie weren't smoking crack, it wouldn't matter. People could come in from anywhere in the country. And they would stop coming if they came to Erie and couldn't sell any other drugs. It's, you know, sort of like the United States lecturing Columbia or these days Afghanistan about raising coca or opium. If the rest of this country weren't clamoring for drugs and willing to pay for them, there wouldn't be any problem.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

0

1

.2

.3

4

. 5

l6

8

9

0

1

2

3

5

Columbia could grow as much coca as they wanted, and Columbia could grow poppy as much as they want.

But Mr. Fleetwood is no worse or better because he's from Cleveland, than someone who comes before your Honor and who is a resident of Erie who obtains their cocaine from somewhere outside of Erie.

obviously, you know, it's not being grown and manufactured here. Everybody is getting it from somewhere. So I would suggest that Mr. Fleetwood is not and should not be sentenced any more harshly because he's from Cleveland. Even if your Honor is not inclined to give a sentence at the 120 month mandatory minimum, as we argued in our position with respect to sentencing factors, I would submit that a sentence of 151 months, which is four months shy of 13 years, is sufficient to punish Mr. Fleetwood, and to promote the purposes of sentencing that are laid out in 18 United States Code Section 3553.

Mr. Fleetwood has provided your Honor with a letter, as you mentioned. And I had spoken to him about the matter this morning, and he wants that to stand as his right of allocution to the Court.

THE COURT: Very well, and we will regard that as such. You don't want to say anything else, Mr. Fleetwood?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

l0

1

<u>l</u>2

3

.4

١5

١6

8.

9

0

2

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: Mr. Piccinini.

MR. PICCININI: Thank you, your Honor. I will also be brief because most of the arguments that I would make to counter the defense's position would also have been made in Mr. Bennafield's sentencing this morning.

Your Honor, with regard to the Cleveland issue, the government's request is not that you punish these defendants more harshly because they're from Cleveland in any way, but we make the point to your Honor that in each of these cases, Mr. Fleetwood being one of them, these guys started out as small time drug dealers in a big city such as Cleveland and decided to move their trade here to the relatively small town of Erie, and became a relatively large scale drug dealer.

And if you look at the quantities of cocaine involved, from the small amounts over in Cleveland, for whatever reason they decided to move here because they might be under the radar screen.

But to move here and to have more than 160 grams of cocaine involved in this one particular incident is of particular concern. And those -- That's The nature of the government's point with

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

.0

1

.2

13

14

5

16

18

19

20

1

2

3

**4** 

**1**5

regard to that. You know, you look at the defendant's history -- And also know I'm not asking the Court to sentence him based upon his arrests.

There were 22 times that the man was arrested. And for no other reason or indication to the Court, but that he's at least had contact with the law enforcement, and you know, he keeps having these contacts. No comment about whether he did anything wrong. But sooner or later you've got to get the picture, I've got to stop engaging in this activity. Or second guess, because whether or not I have these contacts, something is not right.

But when you add the 22 contacts to the picture, and I believe the six adult convictions, well then you see just a more heighten concern, including other drug related cases. What's interesting in the guidelines, they factor in criminal history maybe -- or criminal history. they don't look at what that criminal history came from. They don't look at the fact that multiple of his prior convictions were cocaine related. And here we are in Federal Court on a cocaine trafficking offense.

And based on those considerations involved in 3553, we believe a sentence in the guideline range is appropriate.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

l0

11

12

13

.4

15

16

17

18

[9

10

11

22

3

4

25

THE COURT: Thanks, Mr. Piccinini. Well, this is quite similar to the case we just finished within the last hour or so. I'm not going to repeat myself to a great extent either. But the Sentencing Commission in formulating these guidelines, the defendants have to understand the worst thing about protection of society, as well as what those members of the commission I assume felt was a fair assessment of the sentence toward the defendant.

But as I've said many times before, I'm really concerned about the extent of the drug activity, so to speak, that's descended on society in the last 20 years. I've seen it myself just expand by leaps and bounds. And we have to take that into consideration in determining what we consider to be a fair sentence.

Is there any reason then, Mr. Patton, that sentence should not be imposed at this time?

MR. PATTON: No, sir.

THE COURT: Mr. Fleetwood?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Mr. Piccinini.

MR. PICCININI: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: After consulting the Sentencing

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

lO

1

.2

.3

4

l 5

16

.7

18

9

10

1

12

13

5

Guidelines, it's the judgment of the Court that the defendant, Kenneth Fleetwood, is hereby committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons to be in prison for a term of 168 months.

Upon release from imprisonment, the defendant shall be placed on supervised release for a term of five years. Within 72 hours of release from the custody of the Bureau of Prisons, the defendant shall report in person to the Probation Office in the district to which the defendant is released.

While on supervised release, the defendant shall not commit another federal, state or local crime; shall comply with the standard conditions of supervision that have been recommended by the Sentencing Commission and a adopted by this Court; and shall also comply with the following additional conditions:

The defendant shall not illegally possess a controlled substance.

The defendant shall not possess a firearm or destructive device.

The defendant shall participate in a program of testing, and if necessary, treatment for substance abuse as directed by the probation officer, until such time as the defendant is released from the

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

**1**3

4

15

l6

7

18

19

0

1

12

3

program by the probation officer. Further, the defendant shall be required to contribute to the costs of services for any such treatment in an amount determined by the probation officer, but not to exceed the actual cost. The defendant shall submit to at least one drug urinalysis within 15 days of being placed on supervision, and at least two periodic tests thereafter.

The defendant shall cooperate in the collection of DNA as directed by the probation officer.

The Court finds that the defendant does not have the ability to pay a fine. The Court will waive the fine in this case due to the defendant's inability to pay.

It is further ordered that the defendant shall pay to the United States a special assessment in the amount of \$100 which shall be paid to the U.S. District Court Clerk forthwith.

We believe that a sentence of 168 months followed by five years of supervised release adequately addresses the nature and circumstances of this offense, as well as the history and background of the defendant.

I was particularly concerned when I read the

6

8

9

lO

.1

12

.3

4

6

8

.9

0

1

2

3

presentence report that the defendant had lied even after being put under oath in front of the magistrate, what his name was. And that certainly mitigates against any mercy with the Court as well.

In imposing this sentence, we have considered the kinds of sentences available, and the sentencing range set forth in the guidelines, including any relevant policy statements issued by the Sentencing Commission.

This sentence takes into account the need to avoid unwarranted disparities in sentencing among defendants with similar records who have been found guilty of similar conduct.

At the same time, this sentence reflects the seriousness of this offense and provides just punishment for it; and also promotes respect for the law and affords adequate deterrence to criminal conduct while protecting the public from further crimes by this defendant.

We have considered the factors which are outlined in Title 18, United States Code, Section 3553. In addition, we feel that this sentence will give Mr. Fleetwood an opportunity to receive additional educational or vocational training, medical care, and any other correctional treatment

available in the federal prison system. 2 Mr. Fleetwood, you have a right to appeal 3 pursuant to the plea agreement. It apparently was --There were certain restrictions on appeals in the 5 plea agreement. 6 MR. PICCININI: There were, your Honor, and this is also a conditional appeal allowing the 8 defendant to appeal your suppression ruling in this 9 case and imposed on his --0 COURT: Okay. Of course you do have the right to appeal with respect to the conditional appeal, and the other provisions related to this sentencing. An 3 appeal must be filed within ten days; you're entitled to a lawyer at every stage of the proceedings, and if you cannot afford an attorney, one will be provided .6 to you without charge. I don't believe there are any counts to be 18 dismissed. 9 MR. PICCININI: There are not, your Honor. 0 MR. PATTON: Your Honor, again, we would 1 object to the condition of supervised release and 2 that Mr. Fleetwood provide DNA. 3 And we would also ask that you recommend to 4 the Bureau of Prisons that Mr. Fleetwood be housed at 5 FCI Elkton, which is located near Youngstown, Ohio so

1 Mr. Fleetwood could be near his family in Cleveland. THE COURT: I'll make that a recommendation. Did the last defendant have Elkton in mind specifically as well? MR. PATTON: He just wanted to be close to 6 Cleveland, but I believe Elkton is as close as there is. THE COURT: Okay. 9 MR. PATTON: I think you technically have to 0. rule on the objection of the DNA. **l**1 THE COURT: Okay, your objection is overruled. 2 MR. PATTON: Thank you. 3 (At which time, 11:15 a.m. the proceedings were concluded.) 4 6 8. 9 0 1 2 3 4 15

_	<del></del>
1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	I, Denice A. Grill, RMR, a Court Reporter and
4	Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania,
5	do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate
6	transcript of my stenographic notes in the above-captioned
7	matter.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
4°	
20 21	
42	
43	
24	
25	
L	<u>;                                    </u>